

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,695	95 04/13/2001		Stephen R. Forrest	10020/22301	4983
23838	7590	06/21/2004	EXAMINER		INER
KENYON & KENYON				PHAN, THIEM D	
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3729	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Intension Summan	09/833,695	FORREST ET AL.					
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tim Phan	3729					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Thiem D. Phan.	(3) <u>Carl J. Arbes</u> .						
(2) <u>Aaron Kamlay</u> .	(4) John McGroarty.						
Date of Interview: 18 June 2004.							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 40</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 5,900,160 &amp; US 6,294,398 B1</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\boxtimes$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants reviewed the prior art, Claim 1 recites a step which is materially different from the steps taught by Kim et al. Applicants urge that Whitesides et al teach a non metal depositing step which is materially different from the steps recited in Claim 1. Regarding the Restriction, Applicants are urged to review MPEP 821.03 or 37CFR 1.142 (b).</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
$\mathcal{T}$	<b>-</b> Λ	$\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$					

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required